

was measured at 406 nm and expressed as the quantity of protein essential to inhibit 50% auto-oxidation of quercetin (1 unit) per mg protein.

Glutathione S-transferase activity

The activity of GST was determined by measuring the absorbance of conjugation of CDNB with reduced GSH at 340 nm as described by Habig *et al.*^[25] The GST activity was expressed as nmol/min/mg protein with ϵ of 5.3 mM⁻¹.

Analysis of DNA damage by agarose gel electrophoresis

The DNA of AA treatment (100 and 200 mg/L) and RES (100 μ M) pretreated lymphocyte cells were isolated by salting out, the method as described by Miller *et al.*^[26] The cells were lysed and digested with SDS and proteinase K. This was followed by the addition of 6M NaCl and was centrifuged. The DNA was extracted from the supernatant by ethanol precipitation and checked for purity at an absorbance of 260 nm and 280 nm. The DNA isolated were analyzed for DNA damage by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The ethidium bromide stained DNA was photographed using Gel Doc system (Clever Scientific Ltd).

Micronucleus and nuclear fragmentation assay

For MN assay, cytochalasin B (3 μ g/mL) was included in the culture medium in all the above-treated cells and was incubated for 6 h.^[27] The cells were then washed with PBS, fixed in 10% formalin and stained with 100 nM of DAPI. The DAPI stained cells were observed under a confocal microscope (LSM 700, Carl-Zeiss, Germany, Zen software) for the micronucleus (MN) formation and nuclear fragmentation at the 60 \times objective lens and the frequency of MN were scored in 1000 binucleated cells.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done employing GraphPad Prism software. The experiments were carried out in triplicates. The data were expressed as means \pm standard error of the mean and were analyzed by analysis of variance. $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Protective influence of resveratrol on cytotoxicity and reactive oxygen species formation induced by acrylamide in primary lymphocyte cells

The effect of RES on lymphocyte cells were studied by treatment of cells with RES at a concentration of 25–200 μ M for 24 h. The lymphocyte cells treated with RES did not present cytotoxicity up to 100 μ M and showed viability near to that of untreated control cells. However, at 200 μ M concentration, a slight reduction in the viability of cells was observed. Hence, 100 μ M concentration of RES was chosen for further studies [Figure 1a]. The study of cytotoxicity in AA-induced primary lymphocyte cells was observed to be concentration dependent. At 5 mg/L concentration of AA, the viability of cells was 94.55% and decreased gradually with increasing concentration of AA. At 500 mg/L of AA, cell viability was 46.84%. The best protective effect of RES was observed at 100 μ M concentration of RES pretreatment on AA-induced cytotoxicity at concentrations of 100, 200 and 300 mg/L. Thus, further experiments of RES (100 μ M) protection on AA-induction were carried at 100, 200, and 300 mg/L of AA-treatment [Figure 1b].

The ROS measured by DCFH-DA method in lymphocyte cells exposed to AA at concentrations of 5, 50, 100, 200 and 300 mg/L showed a concentration-dependent increase in DCF fluorescence intensity which is due to an increase in ROS formation. The RES (100 μ M) preexposed cells followed by exposure to AA showed protection on




Figure 1: Effects of resveratrol on cell viability and reactive oxygen species formation in primary lymphocyte cells induced by acrylamide. (a) Effect of resveratrol (25–200 μ M) on the viability of primary lymphocyte cells; (b) Effect of resveratrol preexposure (100 μ M) on acrylamide-induced cytotoxicity; (c) Effect of resveratrol on reactive oxygen species formation in cells treated with acrylamide. All the values are \pm standard error of the mean from experiment in triplicates. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ indicates significant difference between acrylamide versus control cells. # $P < 0.05$, ## $P < 0.01$ indicates significant difference between resveratrol pretreatment versus acrylamide treated cells

AA-induced ROS formation, by reducing ROS formation with a decrease in DCF fluorescence intensity. The reduction of ROS was concentration-dependent. However, the RES preexposure at higher concentration of AA (300 mg/L) did not impart a good protective effect on ROS reduction [Figure 1c].

Resveratrol protected antioxidant enzyme activities (Catalase, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione S-transferase) in acrylamide exposed primary lymphocytes

The AA-induced lymphocyte cells showed a significant concentration-dependent decrease in the activity of CAT and SOD. The antioxidant enzymes CAT was reduced by 0.51, 1.75, 2.5-fold and SOD was decreased by 0.83, 1.27, 1.55-fold respectively at 100, 200, and 300 mg/L of AA-treatment in comparison to control cells. However, RES preexposed (100 μM), AA (100–300 mg/L)-treated lymphocytes showed an increase in CAT of 2.14, 2.1, 0.57-fold compared to AA-exposed lymphocyte cells. Hence, RES preexposure increases the decomposition of H₂O₂. In addition, the SOD activity was increased by 0.33, 0.5, 0.14-fold respectively to that of AA-exposed cells [Figure 2a and b].

The other antioxidant enzyme GST showed an increase of 0.84, 0.62, and 0.61-fold in AA-induced (100, 200, and 300 mg/L) lymphocyte cells compared to control cells. Furthermore, the RES (100 μM) pretreated followed by AA (100–300 mg/L) exposure showed an increase in GST activity to that of control by 1.27, 1.28, and 0.87-fold, respectively [Figure 2c].

The above result suggested that the RES was best protective at 100 and 200 mg/L of AA exposure. At 300 mg/L of AA, the protection offered by RES was decreased.

Resveratrol protects DNA of lymphocyte cells from acrylamide-induced DNA damage and nuclear fragmentation

Agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA isolated from lymphocyte cells pretreated with 100 μM of RES before AA treatment showed clear DNA band [lane 2, 3 of Figure 3a] similar to control cells [lane 1 of Figure 3a]. However, the AA-induced cells at a concentration of 100 and 200 mg/L showed a characteristic DNA fragmentation observed as a ladder-like pattern as seen in lane 4, 5 of Figure 3a. Thus, the DNA was protected from damage induced by AA which signifies that RES protected cells from AA-induced DNA damage.

The confocal microscopy of lymphocyte cells exposed to AA (100, 200 mg/L) showed the formation of bi, trinucleate cells and nuclear fragmentation indicating the nuclei was observed to fragment into smaller pieces during AA exposure [Figure 3b]. However, RES (100 μM) preexposed cells, followed by the exposure to AA (100, 200 mg/L) were protected from nuclear fragmentation and were similar to control untreated cells. Thus, RES showed protection on nuclear damage induced by AA.

The protection offered by resveratrol on micronucleus formation in acrylamide-induced lymphocyte cells

The DAPI stained primary lymphocyte cells exposed to AA (100–300 mg/L), analyzed by confocal microscopy showed the formation of MN [Figure 3d]. The AA exposed lymphocyte cells showed an increase in the frequency of MN of 2.82, 6.29, and 8.79-fold at 100, 200, and 300 mg/L of AA treatment compared to untreated control cells. However, the lymphocyte cells preexposed to RES (100 μM) followed by AA (100–300 mg/L) exposure showed a decrease in the frequency of MN




Figure 2: Effect of resveratrol on antioxidant enzyme activity in acrylamide exposed lymphocyte cells. (a) Catalase; (b) superoxide dismutase; (c) glutathione S-transferase. All value represents ± standard error of the mean from three independent experiments. The symbols indicates significant difference between acrylamide-induced and control cells (**P* < 0.05), resveratrol pretreated and acrylamide-treated cells (#*P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01)




Figure 3: Protective effect of resveratrol (100 μ M) pretreatment on (a) DNA fragmentation of lymphocyte cells induced by acrylamide resolved on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis; (b) Nuclear fragmentation induced by acrylamide analyzed by confocal microscopy; (c) % frequency of micronucleus; (d) confocal microscopy of acrylamide-treated and resveratrol pretreated cells for micronucleus formation. Experiments carried in triplicates are expressed as \pm standard error of the mean. Significant difference of acrylamide-treated versus control cells (* P < 0.05), significant difference of resveratrol pretreated and acrylamide-treated cells (** P < 0.01, ### P < 0.001)

of 2.39, 2.33, 2.06 in comparison to AA-treated cells [Figure 3c]. Thus, signifies the protective effect of RES on AA-induced MN formation.

DISCUSSION

The natural genesis of AA formed during the cooking of food has resulted in identifying AA, as a cooking carcinogen.^[28] Many studies have depicted the role of phytochemicals and antioxidants in the reduction of AA content in food sources.^[12] Hence, there is a necessity to study the role of these antioxidants in reducing the toxicity induced by AA in animals and humans. RES found in plants has been shown to modulate oxidative changes in lung, liver, brain, kidney, and testes tissues of rat studied *in vivo*.^[29] In this regard, lymphocytes from the blood of rat were used as an *in vitro* model to analyze the protective influence of RES on the genotoxicity of AA.

AA exposure to primary lymphocyte cells of rat exhibited apparent cytotoxicity at concentrations ranging from 5 to 500 mg/L. However, an increase in cell viability was observed with RES preexposure at 100 μ M for 24 h which is a consequence of the reduction in cytotoxicity induced by AA. Hence, RES protected cells from AA-induced cytotoxicity. It has been reflected in our studies that AA exposed primary lymphocyte cells at concentrations of 100–300 mg/L have shown the increase in ROS level resulting in an increase in oxidative stress which might reduce the function of antioxidant enzymes. Hence, oxidative stress has been established to be responsible for inducing mutation, chromosomal aberration, promotion of a tumor, and development of cancer.^[30]

The studies on RES were known to promote multiple antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, CAT, heme oxygenase-1, a γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase, and NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase.^[31,32] The participation of antioxidant enzyme systems such as SOD and catalase has shown that SOD is involved in the dismutation reaction of the anion superoxide resulting in the formation of H_2O_2 . H_2O_2 is then degraded by CAT forming H_2O and O_2 molecules, thus preventing the formation of hydroxyl radicals, thus prevents lipid peroxidation and known to shield

against cellular damage as induced by free radicals.^[33-35] As a consequence, the activities of these enzymes studied have shown that RES (100 μ M) preexposed lymphocytes for 24 h showed a decrease in ROS resulting in a marked increase in the activities of CAT and SOD. Hence, RES can restore the antioxidant enzyme activity and probably can reduce the generation of free radicals and revealed a substantial protective effect against AA-induced oxidative stress to primary lymphocytes of the rat.

Furthermore, the previous studies have shown that RES marks an increase in the level of GSH, activities of GST, GSH peroxidase, and GSH reductase. The increased activity subsequently reduced DNA damage in various cells studied, such as Chinese hamster ovarian cells and human lymphocytes.^[36,37] The GST, phase 2 detoxification enzyme activity studied in primary lymphocytes preexposed to RES followed by AA-exposure showed an increase in GST activity compared to AA exposed cells. Hence, GST could play a major role in the metabolic degradation of AA by conjugation with GSH.

The DNA has been shown to be sensitive to ROS induced by toxic chemicals. The increased ROS level marks the loss of balance of the scavenging system and thus can attack DNA macromolecules, resulting in oxidative DNA damage.^[38] Hence, DNA damage was most likely through elevated intracellular ROS formation. The MN test an indication of chromosomal damage has shown an increase in the frequency of MN in AA (100–300 mg/L) exposed primary lymphocyte cells. The MN is formed from whole chromosomes when lags at anaphase or also exist from chromosome fragments at the phase of nuclear division.^[39] Hence, AA acts as a genotoxic agent. However, preexposure of lymphocyte cells with RES was observed to be antigenotoxic by reducing the frequency of MN induced by AA. Furthermore, nuclear fragmentation of lymphocyte cells induced by AA was protected by RES pretreatment. The present work thus confirms the protective effect of RES on *in vitro* genotoxicity of AA.

CONCLUSION

The findings of our study imply that RES decreased ROS increased the activities of CAT, SOD, and GST enzymes. Thus, RES reduced oxidative stress-related genotoxicity induced by AA in lymphocyte cells. In addition, RES is protective on AA-induced DNA damage and can be contemplated in the prevention of genetic risk associated with the exposure of AA.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Director, CSIR-CFTRI for supporting the research work. In addition, the first author acknowledges the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR, New Delhi) for the fellowship granted.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Capuano E, Fogliano V. Acrylamide and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF): A review on metabolism, toxicity, occurrence in food and mitigation strategies. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 2011;44:793-810.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Chemical Summary for Acrylamide. EPA 749-F-94-005a. United States Environmental Protection Agency; 2014.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. Acrylamide: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Some Industrial Chemicals. Vol. 60. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 1994. p. 387-433.
- Shipp A, Lawrence G, Gentry R, McDonald T, Bartow H, Bounds J, *et al.* Acrylamide: Review of toxicity data and dose-response analyses for cancer and noncancer effects. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 2006;36:481-608.
- Jiang L, Cao J, An Y, Geng C, Qu S, Jiang L, *et al.* Genotoxicity of acrylamide in human hepatoma G2 (HepG2) cells. *Toxicol in vitro* 2007;21:1486-92.
- Puppel N, Tjaden Z, Fueller F, Marko D. DNA strand breaking capacity of acrylamide and glycidamide in mammalian cells. *Mutat Res* 2005;580:71-80.
- Martins C, Oliveira NG, Pingarilho M, Gamboa da Costa G, Martins V, Marques MM, *et al.* Cytogenetic damage induced by acrylamide and glycidamide in mammalian cells: Correlation with specific glycidamide-DNA adducts. *Toxicol Sci* 2007;95:383-90.
- Kahkeshani N, Farahanikia B, Mahdavi P, Abdolghaffari A, Hassanzadeh G, Abdollahi M, *et al.* Antioxidant and burn healing potential of *Galium odoratum* extracts. *Res Pharm Sci* 2013;8:197-203.
- Manayi A, Saeidnia S, Gohari AR, Abdollahi M. Methods for the discovery of new anti-aging products – Targeted approaches. *Expert Opin Drug Discov* 2014;9:383-405.
- Zhang Y, Zhang Y. Formation and reduction of acrylamide in Maillard reaction: A review based on the current state of knowledge. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2007;47:521-42.
- Zhang Y, Zhang Y. Study on reduction of acrylamide in fried bread sticks by addition of antioxidant of bamboo leaves and extract of green tea. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2007;16 Suppl 1:131-6.
- Kahkeshani N, Saeidnia S, Abdollahi M. Role of antioxidants and phytochemicals on acrylamide mitigation from food and reducing its toxicity. *J Food Sci Technol* 2015;52:3169-86.
- Adrian M, Jeandet P. Effects of resveratrol on the ultrastructure of *Botrytis cinerea* conidia and biological significance in plant/pathogen interactions. *Fitoterapia* 2012;83:1345-50.
- Signorelli P, Ghidoni R. Resveratrol as an anticancer nutrient: Molecular basis, open questions and promises. *J Nutr Biochem* 2005;16:449-66.
- Mahal H, Mukherjee T. Scavenging of reactive oxygen radicals by resveratrol: Antioxidant effect. *Res Chem Intermed* 2006;32:59-71.
- Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, Slowing KV, Thomas CF, Beecher CW, *et al.* Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science* 1997;275:218-20.
- Pervaiz S. Resveratrol: From grapevines to mammalian biology. *FASEB J* 2003;17:1975-85.
- Mikstacka R, Rimando AM, Ignatowicz E. Antioxidant effect of trans-resveratrol, pterostilbene, quercetin and their combinations in human erythrocytes *in vitro*. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* 2010;65:57-63.
- Pool-Zobel BL, Guigas C, Klein R, Neudecker C, Renner HW, Schmezer P. Assessment of genotoxic effects by lindane. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1993;31:271-83.
- Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J Immunol Methods* 1983;65:55-63.
- LeBel CP, Ischiropoulos H, Bondy SC. Evaluation of the probe 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein as an indicator of reactive oxygen species formation and oxidative stress. *Chem Res Toxicol* 1992;5:227-31.
- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 1976;72:248-54.
- Aebi H. Catalase *in vitro*. *Methods Enzymol* 1984;105:121-6.
- Kostyuk VA, Potapovich AI. Superoxide – Driven oxidation of quercetin and a simple sensitive assay for determination of superoxide dismutase. *Biochem Int* 1989;19:1117-24.
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB. Glutathione S-transferases. The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. *J Biol Chem* 1974;249:7130-9.
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1988;16:1215.
- Varga D, Johannes T, Jainta S, Schuster S, Schwarz-Boeger U, Kiechle M, *et al.* An automated scoring procedure for the micronucleus test by image analysis. *Mutagenesis* 2004;19:391-7.
- Tareke E, Rydberg P, Karlsson P, Eriksson S, Törnqvist M. Acrylamide: A cooking carcinogen? *Chem Res Toxicol* 2000;13:517-22.
- Alturfan AA, Tozan-Beceran A, Sehirli AO, Demiralp E, Sener G, Omurtag GZ, *et al.* Resveratrol ameliorates oxidative DNA damage and protects against acrylamide-induced oxidative stress in rats. *Mol Biol Rep* 2012;39:4589-96.
- Speit G, Dennog C, Radermacher P, Rothfuss A. Genotoxicity of hyperbaric oxygen. *Mutat Res* 2002;512:111-9.
- Kulkarni SS, Cantó C. The molecular targets of resveratrol. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2015;1852:1114-23.
- Li H, Xia N, Förstermann U. Cardiovascular effects and molecular targets of resveratrol. *Nitric Oxide* 2012;26:102-10.
- Banerjee T, Kuypers FA. Reactive oxygen species and phosphatidylserine externalization in murine sickle red cells. *Br J Haematol* 2004;124:391-402.
- Liu CM, Ma JQ, Lou Y. Chronic administration of troxerutin protects mouse kidney against D-galactose-induced oxidative DNA damage. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2010;48:2809-17.
- Liu CM, Ma JQ, Sun YZ. Quercetin protects the rat kidney against oxidative stress-mediated DNA damage and apoptosis induced by lead. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 2010;30:264-71.
- Yen GC, Duh PD, Lin CW. Effects of resveratrol and 4-hexylresorcinol on hydrogen peroxide-induced oxidative DNA damage in human lymphocytes. *Free Radic Res* 2003;37:509-14.
- Sainz RM, Mayo JC, Tan DX, Lopez-Burillo S, Natarajan M, Reiter RJ, *et al.* Antioxidant activity of melatonin in Chinese hamster ovarian cells: Changes in cellular proliferation and differentiation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2003;302:625-34.
- Łuczaj W, Skrzydlewska E. DNA damage caused by lipid peroxidation products. *Cell Mol Biol Lett* 2003;8:391-413.
- Fenech M. The *in vitro* micronucleus technique. *Mutat Res* 2000;455:81-95.